

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,876	01/17/2002	Hans-Hermann Wippersteg	3957/59156-103	7926
	7590 03/06/2007 PENBERGER, LLC	EXAMINER		
Suite 1400			FISHER, MICHAEL J	
401 Main Street Peoria, IL 61602			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3629	
	,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	·		03/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/051,876	WIPPERSTEG, HANS-HERMANN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael J. Fisher	3629		

·	Michael J. Fisher	3629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 08 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		·	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a N wing replies: (1) an amendn tice of Appeal (with appeal	otice of Appeal. To avoid ab nent, affidavit, or other evide fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date ater than SIX MONTHS from the (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WI 06.07(f).	ne mailing date of the final reject HEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	tion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for r than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The approperly originally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (		oecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	•	nally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,	Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		o)  will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,15-38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·		,
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections und	er appeal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims	s after entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		1
		JOXN G. WEISS	•
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA	

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims as amended include matters that were not presented before, such as multiple databases that each contain only specific data and "pre-service life design change". The examiner would note that even the addition of the limitation "wherein said individual mobile machine is selected from the group consisting of..." would not render the prior art as "non-analogous". The workings of the machines as machines are not claimed, all are in the field of repair and maintenance of machines and further, that limitation, as currently claimed, is intended use and as such, is not given patentable weight.